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## Survey Methodology

| Dates | October 20-November 1, 2023 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Survey Type | Dual-mode Resident Survey |
| Research Population | Adult Residents of Sacramento County |
| Total Interviews | 1,061 |
| Margin of Sampling Error | $\pm 3.1 \%$ at the $95 \%$ Confidence Level |
| Contact Methods | Telephone Calls |
| Data Collection Modes |  |
| Languages | The survey was available in English, Spanish, Arabic, Chinese, Farsi, Hmong, Punjabi, Russian, Tagalog and Vietnamese |
| Tracking | Selected Comparisons to 2021 Resident Survey |
| 13 (Note: Not All Results Will Sum to 100\% Due to Rounding) |  |

## Respondent Profile

## Respondents reflected the diversity of the county.

| Demographic Group | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| City of Sacramento | $33 \%$ |
| Other cities | $30 \%$ |
| Unincorporated areas | $37 \%$ |
| Age 18-49 | $55 \%$ |
| Age 50+ | $45 \%$ |
| Male | $48 \%$ |
| Female | $50 \%$ |
| Children under 19 at home | $29 \%$ |
| No children under 19 at home | $69 \%$ |
| HH income under \$50,000 | $25 \%$ |
| \$50,001 - \$100,000 | $19 \%$ |
| \$100,001 - \$150,000 | $21 \%$ |
| Over \$150,001 | $22 \%$ |


| Demographic Group | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| White/Caucasian | $40 \%$ |
| Latino/Hispanic | $23 \%$ |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | $17 \%$ |
| Black/African American | $10 \%$ |
| Native American/American Indian | $1 \%$ |
| Multiracial/Other | $6 \%$ |
| Born in the US | $78 \%$ |
| Another country | $20 \%$ |
| Regularly speak a language other | $28 \%$ |
| than English at home | $70 \%$ |
| English at home | $60 \%$ |
| Homeowners | $32 \%$ |
| Renters |  |

# Two in five have personally or have a tie to someone who has experienced homelessness. 

Have you or a close friend or family member ever experienced a period of homelessness?


## County Context

## A slim majority believes that Sacramento County is on the wrong track.

Would you say things in Sacramento County are going in the right direction, or are they off on the wrong track?


## Nearly three in five see homelessness as the most important problem for County government to address.

In a few words of your own, what is the most important problem that you would like Sacramento County government to address?
(Open-ended)


# Verbatim Responses on Most Important Problem for Sacramento County to Address 

Housing the homeless not just displacing them. Actually using a housing first model.

Homelessness, crime, crumbling infrastructure

The crime rate; we need to feel safe at home.

Housing crisis for the unhoused and lowincome families.

Every single street or road or freeway I drive on is just horrible on my car. The holes and uneven roads are just putting a strain my car.

Homelessness.
Solutions that are not just about getting them off the streets. Mental health, employment, housing.

Drugs and lawlessness.

Homelessness. It's absolutely, completely and insanely out of control.

Opportunities for youth employment and community building.

## Homelessness is seen as a serious problem nearly universally, followed by housing costs.

$\square$ Ext. Ser. Prob. $\quad$ Very Ser. Prob. $\quad$ Smwt Ser. Prob.<br>Not Too Ser. Prob.<br>Don't Know

Ext./Very Ser. Prob. 95\%

83\% 66\% 56\% 55\% 51\% 51\% 48\% 45\% 42\% 39\% 38\%

## Road conditions are a bigger issue in unincorporated areas than the cities.

| Issue <br> (Extremely/Very Serious Problem) | All <br> Residents | Sacramento | Unincorp- <br> orated | Other Cities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homelessness | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ | $97 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| The cost of housing | $\mathbf{8 3 \%}$ | $86 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Crime | $\mathbf{6 6 \%}$ | $64 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| Government waste and <br> mismanagement | $\mathbf{5 6 \%}$ | $51 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| The condition of streets and roads | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $47 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Climate change | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | $52 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Food insecurity | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | $56 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Traffic | $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ | $48 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| Illegal dumping | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ | $47 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| Jobs and unemployment | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $41 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| The quality of our air | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ | $39 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| Blight and abandoned buildings | $\mathbf{3 8 \%}$ | $40 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $34 \%$ |

# General Views of the Budget 

# Respondents were briefly reminded of the types of services the County provides. 

Now I am going to ask you some questions that deal with the Sacramento county budget. As you may know, Sacramento County government is responsible for providing health and human services to all residents of Sacramento County. In addition, in unincorporated areas outside of cities, the County provides residents with additional services that city governments provide elsewhere, like law enforcement and street and road maintenance.

# Nearly two-thirds see "a great need" or "some need" for additional funding for essential services. 

Would you say that to provide essential services, the County of Sacramento has a great need for additional funding, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funding?


## In a brief conceptual test, a slim majority sees bonds as an acceptable way to raise funding.

I am going to read you a list of ways to raise additional funding that could be used to support
County services that local residents want and need. Please tell me whether you would find it an acceptable or unacceptable way to raise those funds.

Borrowing money by issuing bonds, which would be paid back with interest over time


A parcel tax on each property in Sacramento county $\square$

A sales tax increase 6\% 17\%
19\%
57\%

23\%
75\%

Q5.

# Resident Priorities for the County Budget 

## Assessing County Services



- Respondents were asked to rate the importance of several aspects of local life as extremely, very, somewhat or not too important to making Sacramento County a good place to live.
- Then they were asked whether they were satisfied or dissatisfied with a list of services and programs designed to help achieve those qualities.
- The interaction of importance and satisfaction levels shows areas of strength and areas for improvement.


## Residents especially value having low levels of homelessness and crime.

I am going to read you a list of aspects of life in Sacramento County. Please tell me, in your opinion, how important each one is to making it a good place to live: extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important.

# Broad majorities also value well-maintained streets, roads, and parks. 

| $\square$ Ext. Impt. ■ Very Impt. $\quad$ Sm | pt. ■ | No Op | 't Know | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ext./Very } \\ & \text { Impt. } \\ & 82 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well-maintained streets and roads | 43\% | 39\% | 15\% |  |
| Properties that are clean, well-maintained, and safe | 40\% | 41\% | 16\% | 81\% |
| Low levels of drug and alcohol abuse and addiction | 48\% | 31\% | 15\% | 79\% |
| Prepared for natural disasters and emergencies | 45\% | 35\% | 17\% | 79\% |
| Prepared for public health emergencies | 42\% | 37\% | 15\% | 79\% |
| Well-maintained parks and recreation areas | 37\% | 42\% | 19\% | 79\% |
| Having an adequate supply of different types of housing available | 48\% | 29\% | 17\% | 77\% |
| browth and development is carefully planned and managed | 40\% | 37\% | 16\% 5\% | 77\% |
| Accessibility for people living with a disability | 40\% | 36\% | 18\% | 77\% |

# They less-intensely value adequate retail and shopping opportunities. 



# Residents are broadly satisfied with waste disposal, County parks, and libraries. 

I am going to mention some services and programs that Sacramento County provides. I would like you to tell me how satisfied you are personally with the job being done by the County in providing that program or service: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied.

■Very Sat. ■Smwt. Sat. $\quad$ No Opin./Don't Know $\quad$ Smwt. Dissat. $\quad$ Very Dissat.

| Providing waste disposal and... | 34\% | 41\% |  | 7\% 11\% | \% 7\% | 75\% | 18\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintaining County parks and... | 20\% | 52\% |  | 16\% |  | 72\% | 24\% |
| Maintaining library buildings | 20\% | 44\% | 20\% |  | \% | 64\% | 16\% |
| Administering elections | 29\% | 33\% | 15\% | 12\% | 12\% | 61\% | 24\% |
| Preparing for natural disasters... | 11\% | 43\% | 25\% | 14\% | \% 6\% | 55\% | 21\% |
| Keeping low levels of air pollution | 15\% | 39\% | 19\% | 17\% | 10\% | 54\% | 27\% |
| Ensuring access for people... | 16\% | 37\% | 21\% | 16\% | 9\% | 53\% | 26\% |
| viding humane animal care and... | 15\% | 38\% | 22\% | 16\% |  | 53\% | 25\% |

## FM3 <br> Q7.

# Majorities are satisfied with public transportation and preparation for public health emergencies. 

$\square$ Very Sat. $\quad$ Smwt. Sat. $\quad$ No Opin./Don't Know $\square$ Smwt. Dissat. $\quad$ Very Dissat.

| Preparing for... | $14 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

# They are very broadly dissatisfied with homelessness prevention. 

$\square$ Very Sat. $\quad$ Smwt. Sat. $\square$ No Opin./Don't Know $\quad$ Smwt. Dissat. $\square$ Very Dissat.

| Planning for careful growth... | 7\% | 29\% |  | 23\% |  | 24\% | 16\% | 36\% | 40\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Providing adequate protection for... | 9\% | 25\% |  | 31\% |  | 20\% | 15\% | 34\% | 36\% |
| Ensuring a supply of different... | 8\% | 22\% | 15\% |  |  |  | 0\% | 30\% | 55\% |
| iding alternatives to jail and prison f... | 9\% | 19\% |  | 30\% | 19 | \% | 22\% | 29\% | 41\% |
| Enforcing nuisance codes | 7\% | 23\% |  | 27\% | 21\% |  | 23\% | 29\% | 44\% |
| ding drug and alcohol treatment an | 7\% | 22\% |  | 29\% | 20 | \% | 22\% | 29\% | 42\% |
| Providing mental health services for... | 7\% | 17\% | 22\% | 21\% | 33\% |  |  | 24\% | 54\% |
| ating housing affordable to low- and... | 7\% 17\% |  | 12\% | 23\% | 41\% |  |  | 24\% | 64\% |
| Preventing homelessness | 5\%7\% | 18\% | 67\% |  |  |  |  | 12\% | 85\% |

# Homelessness, housing and mental health are areas that people value but see the County as falling short. 



[^0] to live: extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important. job being done by the County in providing that program or service: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied.

## In a budgeting exercise, residents allocate more funding to public safety and homelessness than to other areas.

I am going to ask you to imagine you are in charge of the Sacramento County budget. I would like you to tell me how you would prioritize County spending for 6 broad areas. For this exercise, assume you have $\$ 100$ to spend. After I read you all 6 key areas, please tell me how many dollars out of $\$ 100$ you would spend on each, keeping in mind that the total must add up to $\$ 100$.


Q8.

# White residents are slightly more likely to prioritize homelessness and safety. 

Allocation of $\$ 100$ Budget by Race/Ethnicity


# For Sacramento residents, homelessness is a higher priority. 

Allocation of $\$ 100$ Budget by City

| $\square$ Homelessness | $\square$ Public safety | $\square$ Infrastructure |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Social services | $\square$ Economic development | Parks and environment |


| Sacramento | $\$ 25.20$ | $\$ 17.30$ | $\$ 16.40$ | $\$ 16.90$ | $\$ 13.40$ | $\$ 10.80$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Unincorporated | \$21.20 | \$18.90 | \$18.60 | \$17.20 | \$13.00 | \$11.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Other Cities | $\$ 21.10$ | $\$ 21.80$ | $\$ 17.40$ | $\$ 16.30$ | $\$ 12.90$ | $\$ 10.50$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Homeowners are slightly more likely to prioritize homelessness, safety and infrastructure.

Allocation of \$100 Budget by Language at Home, Tie to Homelessness \& Residence

■ Homelessness
$\square$ Social services
$\square$ Public safety
Economic development

- Infrastructure
- Parks and environment

Another Language Spoken at Home

| $\$ 21.80$ | $\$ 18.80$ | $\$ 17.40$ | $\$ 16.50$ | $\$ 14.40$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 22.90$ | $\$ 19.50$ | $\$ 17.70$ | $\$ 16.90$ | $\$ 12.30 \$ 10.70$ |

Tie to Experience of Homelessness


| Homeowners | $\$ 21.80$ | $\$ 21.50$ | $\$ 18.50$ | $\$ 15.80$ | $\$ 12.10 \$ 10.30$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Renters | $\$ 23.90$ | $\$ 15.90$ | $\$ 16.20$ | $\$ 17.90$ | $\$ 14.40$ |
|  | $\$ 11.70$ |  |  |  |  |

## Views of Homelessness

## When asked to prioritize, residents are more concerned about the impact of homelessness on the county than on the people experiencing it.



# Broad majorities value every potential response to homelessness, though supportive services and housing are especially important. 


to prevent evictions

## The relative ranking of these responses is similar across geography.

| Step to Address Homelessness <br> (Extremely/Very Serious Problem) | All <br> Residents | Sacramento | Unincorp- <br> orated | Other Cities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supportive services | $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ | $87 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Affordable housing | $76 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Enforcing camping laws | $65 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Hygiene/sanitation services | $64 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Shelter/interim housing | $62 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Legal/financial assistance | $60 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $52 \%$ |

## County Service Delivery

## As in 2021, just over half have interacted with a County department or employee recently.

Over the last 2 years, have you interacted with a County department or employee?


Those especially likely to have interacted with the County include those with an experience of homelessness, Supervisorial District 2, and African American residents.

## Waste management and the sheriff are departments residents interacted with most commonly.



## Seven in ten are satisfied with the customer service they received.

Would you say that you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not too satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the overall level of customer service you received?
(Asked of Those With Contact Only, n=541)



Don't know 1\%

## Slow or impolite communication were the most-common reasons for dissatisfaction.

Why were you not satisfied with the customer service you received?
(Open-ended; Asked of Those Not Satisfied, $n=167$ )


## Conclusions

## Conclusions

- Many trends in Sacramento County residents' concerns and priorities are consistent with 2021.
- A slim majority believes things in the country are on the wrong track, consistent with many other West Coast communities grappling with similar challenges.
- Crime, road conditions, and government mismanagement are all key concerns, though in a clear second tier.
- Homelessness continues to be seen as an extremely serious problem - and 41\% of residents have experienced it personally or have a tie to someone who has.
- Asked to choose, residents are more concerned about the community impacts of homelessness than impacts on the health and safety of people experiencing homelessness.
- However, broad majorities view every potential response to homelessness presented to them as highly important, though supportive services and housing rank especially high.
- While about two-thirds see a need for additional funding for essential County services, they are less supportive of specific mechanisms for raising funds. A slim majority says a bond measure is "acceptable."
- Customer service ratings are quite high among those with direct experience. Those who are dissatisfied cite slowness of response and the attitude of the employee they worked with as reasons for dissatisfaction.
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## Appendix

# The cost of housing is slightly more of a concern than in the 2021 survey. 

| Issue |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Extremely/Very Serious Problem) | 2021 | 2023 | Difference |
| The cost of housing | $78 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $+\mathbf{+ 5 \%}$ |
| Blight and abandoned buildings | $35 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $\mathbf{+ 3 \%}$ |
| Homelessness | $93 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 \%}$ |
| Crime | $64 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 \%}$ |
| The quality of our air | $39 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| The condition of streets and roads | $57 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 2 \%}$ |
| Climate change | $53 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 2 \%}$ |
| Traffic | $50 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 2 \%}$ |
| Illegal dumping | $48 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 3 \%}$ |
| Government waste and | $63 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 7 \%}$ |
| mismanagement | $50 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 8 \%}$ |
| Jobs and unemployment |  |  |  |

# Crime, government waste and road conditions are more concerning for Latinos. 

|  |  | Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issue <br> (Extremely/Very Serious Problem) | All Residents | White Residents | Latino Residents | African American Residents | Asian/ Pacific Islander Residents | All Residents of Color |
| Homelessness | 95\% | 96\% | 96\% | 91\% | 92\% | 94\% |
| The cost of housing | 83\% | 80\% | 88\% | 91\% | 83\% | 86\% |
| Crime | 66\% | 62\% | 77\% | 60\% | 64\% | 68\% |
| Government waste and mismanagement | 56\% | 53\% | 62\% | 57\% | 55\% | 57\% |
| The condition of streets and roads | 55\% | 52\% | 62\% | 59\% | 50\% | 57\% |
| Climate change | 51\% | 50\% | 55\% | 51\% | 45\% | 52\% |
| Food insecurity | 51\% | 45\% | 60\% | 64\% | 41\% | 55\% |
| Traffic | 48\% | 43\% | 57\% | 44\% | 47\% | 51\% |
| Illegal dumping | 45\% | 37\% | 52\% | 48\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Jobs and unemployment | 42\% | 31\% | 53\% | 53\% | 48\% | 50\% |
| The quality of our air | 39\% | 34\% | 43\% | 43\% | 39\% | 42\% |
| Blight and abandoned buildings | 38\% | 34\% | 48\% | 35\% | 32\% | 41\% |

## Housing costs are a bigger concern for renters than homeowners.

| Issue <br> (Extremely/Very Serious Problem) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { All } \\ \text { Residents } \end{array}$ | Language Other than English Spoken at Home |  | Tie to Homelessness |  | Residence |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | Homeowners | Renters |
| Homelessness | 95\% | 94\% | 95\% | 96\% | 94\% | 95\% | 96\% |
| The cost of housing | 83\% | 86\% | 81\% | 87\% | 80\% | 76\% | 93\% |
| Crime | 66\% | 70\% | 64\% | 67\% | 65\% | 68\% | 63\% |
| Government waste and mismanagement | 56\% | 54\% | 56\% | 59\% | 53\% | 55\% | 58\% |
| The condition of streets and roads | 55\% | 58\% | 54\% | 56\% | 54\% | 53\% | 58\% |
| Climate change | 51\% | 52\% | 51\% | 54\% | 49\% | 49\% | 54\% |
| Food insecurity | 51\% | 55\% | 49\% | 57\% | 46\% | 44\% | 59\% |
| Traffic | 48\% | 53\% | 47\% | 47\% | 49\% | 50\% | 46\% |
| Illegal dumping | 45\% | 49\% | 44\% | 47\% | 44\% | 45\% | 45\% |
| Jobs and unemployment | 42\% | 50\% | 37\% | 46\% | 38\% | 36\% | 49\% |
| The quality of our air | 39\% | 41\% | 38\% | 42\% | 36\% | 35\% | 44\% |
| Blight and abandoned buildings | 38\% | 39\% | 38\% | 39\% | 38\% | 39\% | 38\% |

## Removing those unable to rate services shows high satisfaction for waste disposal, libraries, and parks.

Informed Satisfaction

| Providing waste disposal and recycling services | 36\% | 44\% | 12\% $7 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sat. Dissat. } \\ & \text { 81\% 19\% } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintaining library buildings | 26\% | 54\% | 15\% 5\% | 80\% | 20\% |
| Vaintaining County parks and recreation areas | 21\% | 54\% | 17\% 8\% | 75\% | 25\% |
| Preparing for natural disasters and emergencies | 15\% | 58\% | 19\% 8\% | 73\% | 27\% |
| Administering elections | 34\% | 38\% | 14\% 14\% | 72\% | 28\% |
| Providing humane animal care and control services | 19\% | 49\% | 20\% 12\% | 68\% | 32\% |
| Preparing for public health emergencies | 17\% | 51\% | 21\% 11\% | 68\% | 32\% |
| Ensuring access for people with disabilities | 20\% | 47\% | 21\% 12\% | 67\% | 33\% |

# ... and shows that majorities are satisfied with air pollution, climate impacts and sheriff's patrols. 

$\square$ Very Sat. $\square$ Smwt. Sat. $\square$ Smwt. Dissat. $\square$ Very Dissat. Keeping low levels of air pollution

| $18 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | lanning to address the impacts of clima...


| ing to address the impacts of clima... | $17 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Encouraging retail businesses | $14 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Providing sheriff's patrols | $18 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| Providing public transportation | $16 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| Attracting and retaining... | $13 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Providing adequate protection and... | $11 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| Encouraging job creation | $12 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $52 \%$ |

## Dissatisfaction remains very high for housing and homelessness services and programs.

Informed Satisfaction
$\square$ Very Sat. $\quad$ Smwt. Sat. $\square$ Smwt. Dissat. $\square$ Very Dissat.
Total Total Sat. Dissat. 48\% 52\%

Maintaining County streets and roads $10 \% \quad 32 \% \quad 25 \% \quad 33 \% \quad 42 \% \quad 58 \%$


Providing drug and alcohol treatment an...

| $10 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Enforcing nuisance codes 9\% 31\% 29\%

Ensuring a supply of different...
Providing mental health services for...

| $9 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Creating housing affordable to... $8 \%$ |
| ---: |

## More affordable housing is a bit more valued by Black residents.

| Specific Step <br> (Extremely/Very Important) | All <br> Residents | Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White Residents | Latino Residents | African American Residents | Asian/ <br> Pacific <br> Islander <br> Residents | All Residents of Color |
| Providing supportive services that will help people experiencing homelessness with treatment for physical and mental health issues, substance use disorders, and finding employment | 86\% | 86\% | 87\% | 88\% | 84\% | 87\% |
| Providing more affordable housing | 76\% | 73\% | 82\% | 87\% | 70\% | 80\% |
| Enforcement of existing laws against camping in public places | 65\% | 62\% | 71\% | 66\% | 66\% | 67\% |
| Expanding hygiene and sanitation services for people sleeping outside | 64\% | 63\% | 69\% | 73\% | 56\% | 66\% |
| Building more immediate, temporary short-term shelter or interim housing | 62\% | 66\% | 66\% | 63\% | 47\% | 61\% |
| Providing legal assistance and financial assistance with rent and utilities to prevent evictions | 60\% | 59\% | 65\% | 67\% | 53\% | 62\% | it is for the County to pursue each step: extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not important.

# Those with a personal tie to homelessness are less likely to value public camping bans. 

| Specific Step <br> (Extremely/Very Important) | All <br> Res. | Tie to Homelessness |  | Residence |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Homeowners | Renters |
| Providing supportive services that will help people experiencing homelessness with treatment for physical and mental health issues, substance use disorders, and finding employment | 86\% | 89\% | 85\% | 82\% | 91\% |
| Providing more affordable housing | 76\% | 82\% | 72\% | 68\% | 86\% |
| Enforcement of existing laws against camping in public places | 65\% | 57\% | 70\% | 72\% | 56\% |
| Expanding hygiene and sanitation services for people sleeping outside | 64\% | 67\% | 63\% | 58\% | 74\% |
| Building more immediate, temporary short-term shelter or interim housing | 62\% | 66\% | 60\% | 57\% | 69\% |
| Providing legal assistance and financial assistance with rent and utilities to prevent evictions | 60\% | 65\% | 57\% | 53\% | 69\% |


[^0]:    Q6. I am going to read you a list of aspects of life in Sacramento County. Please tell me, In your opinion, how important each one is to making it a good place

